

2008 BEIJING PARALYMPIC GAMES - CYCLING - SLOTS ALLOCATION - WOMEN

Rank	Country	Total Points	Top 20	Method 1			Method 2		
1	USA	2780	1	4.0	4	5	3.4	3	4
2	AUS	2518	1	3.6	4	5	3.1	3	4
3	CHN	2347	1	3.4	3	4	2.9	3	4
4	GER	2189	1	3.1	3	4	2.7	3	4
5	GBR	1256	1	1.8	2	3	1.6	2	3
6	NZL	1174	1	1.7	2	3	1.4	2	3
7	ITA	626	1	0.9	1	2	0.8	1	2
8	RSA	524	1	0.8	1	2	0.6	1	2
9	AUT	475	1	0.7	1	2	0.6	1	2
10	SUI	426	1	0.6	1	2	0.5	1	2
11	DEN	390	1	0.6	1	2	0.5	1	2
12	CAN	377	1	0.5	1	2	0.5	1	2
13	ESP	372	1	0.5	1	2	0.5	1	2
14	BLR	296	1	0.4	0	1	0.4	0	1
15	CZE	273	1	0.4	0	1	0.3	0	1
16	FRA	250	1	0.4	0	1	0.3	0	1
17	NED	245	1	0.4	0	1	0.3	0	1
18	NOR	190	1	0.3	0	1	0.2	0	1
19	IRL	164	1	0.2	0	1	0.2	0	1
20	POL	86	1	0.1	0	1	0.1	0	1
21	FIN	85	0	0.1	0	0	0.1	0	0
22	SWE	80	0	0.1	0	0	0.1	0	0
23	ISR	40	0	0.1	0	0	0.0	0	0
24	CRO	40	0	0.1	0	0	0.0	0	0
25	BUR	32	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0
			20	24.8	25	45	21.3	23	43
	Cards left					3			5
	Total			695		48			48

Method 1 follows precisely what is described in the qualification procedure, which is dividing the total number of ranking points from the top nation by 4. Using this method, we come up with a total number of 25 slots to be allocated to nations, while we should have only 23 cards to allocate this way.

Method 2 consists of finding a factor by which all nations ranking points will be divided, such that the total number of slots allocated this way will be 23. Using this method, the Top rank nation will get 4 slots instead of 5, but it leaves 5 slots for bipartite commission invitation allocation (wild cards).

The process described in the qualification process allows IPC Cycling (now the UCI para-cycling commission) to make adjustments, if needed. Therefore, method 2 was used to allocate slots, as it is in conformity with the guidelines described in the document and it achieves the goal intended.